## STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

JUSTICE COURT		TOWN OF		
PEOPLE OF THE ST	ATE OF NEW YO	DRK,		
-against-	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF MOTION TO DISMISS SIMPLIFIED TRAFFIC INFORMATION		
LOUIS S.,				
	Defendant.			
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that upon the annexed duly verified Affidavit of Matisyahu Wolfberg, and on all papers and proceedings had herein, the undersigned moves this Court for an Order Dismissing simplified traffic information # LQ 275177 0				
OATED: November 18, 2	2004	Very truly yours,		
		Matisyahu Wolfberg Attorney at Law		

Justice, TOWN OF XXX To:

STATE OF NEW YORK
JUSTICE COURT

## COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER TOWN OF

\_\_\_\_\_\_

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

Plaintiff, <u>ATTORNEY'S AFFIDAVIT</u>

IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

-against- <u>TO DISMISS SIMPLIFIED TRAFFIC</u>

**INFORMATION** 

	$\overline{}$		10	$\circ$
ட	u	U	IS	O.,

Defendant.		

Matisyahu Wolfberg, Esq., being duly affirmed, deposes and says:

I am an attorney retained by the defendant and movant herein to apply to this court for an order dismissing simplified traffic information # LQ 275177 0 based on the following:

- N.Y.C.P.L. § 150.40(2) requires that the court must dismiss the accusatory instrument in question.
  - a. The accusatory instrument was neither timely filed nor was it filed in the proper court. Pursuant to N.Y.C.P.L. § 150.50(1) "A police officer or other public servant who has issued and served an appearance ticket must, at or before the time such appearance ticket is returnable, file or cause to be filed with the local criminal court in which it is returnable (emphasis added) a local criminal court accusatory instrument charging the person named in such appearance ticket with the offense specified therein." The accusatory instrument in question, as served on the defendant, was made returnable in Town of Somers Court on September 25, 2003. (See Exhibit "A") The Police Officer who issued the accusatory instrument, did not file the summons in the Town of Somers Court. Therefore, the Police Officer did not "at or before the

- time such appearance ticket is returnable, file or cause to be filed with the local criminal court in which it is returnable." The accusatory instrument was neither timely filed nor was it filed in the proper court.
- b. It is now impossible to file an accusatory instrument, which is sufficient on its face. Pursuant to N.Y.C.P.L. § 150.50(2) "If such accusatory instrument is not sufficient on its face, as prescribed in section 100.40, and if the court is satisfied that on the basis of the available facts or evidence it would be impossible to draw and file an accusatory instrument which is sufficient on its face, it must dismiss such accusatory instrument."
  - i. The accusatory instrument is not sufficient on its face. Pursuant to N.Y.C.P.L. § 150.40(1) "An appearance ticket must be made returnable in a local criminal court designated in section 100.55 as one with which an information for the offense in question may be filed." The appearance ticket alleges that the offense occurred in the Town of XXX yet the summons was made returnable in "Town of Somers." (See Exhibit "A") Therefore the appearance ticket is facially insufficient because it was not made returnable in the local criminal court where information could be filed.
  - ii. The Statute of Limitations has run. N.Y.C.P.L. § 30.10(2)(D) provides that "A prosecution for a petty offense must be commenced within one year after the commission thereof." The alleged offense occurred in August of 2003, which is well over year ago. It is therefore impossible for the Police Officer to file an accusatory instrument, which is sufficient on its face, because the statute of limitations has run for this offense.

- **c.** Under these circumstances, N.Y.C.P.L. § 150.50(2) requires that the court must dismiss the accusatory instrument.
- 2. N.Y.C.P.L. § 170.35(1)(a), also provides a basis for the Court to dismiss the summons based on its being facially insufficient.
  - a. Pursuant to N.Y.C.P.L. § 150.40(1) "An appearance ticket must be made returnable in a local criminal court designated in section 100.55 as one with which an information for the offense in question may be filed." The appearance ticket is facially insufficient because it was not made returnable in the local criminal court where information could be filed. The ticket was made returnable in the Town of Somers.
  - b. Therefore the court has a basis to dismiss the summons based on N.Y.C.P.L.
     § 170.35(1)(a),
- 3. The court lacks Jurisdiction.
  - a. The accusatory instrument was made returnable in the Town of Somers.
    Thus, the Town of XXX has no jurisdiction over this matter.

WHEREFORE, it is prayed that the relief sought in the Notice of Motion and the defendant's moving Affidavit be granted.

Matisyahu Wolfberg Attorney at Law

## STATE OF NEW YORK JUSTICE COURT

## COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER TOWN OF

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, Plaintiff. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE -against-LOUIS S., Defendant. Matisyahu Wolfberg, Esq., an attorney duly admitted to practice law affirms under penalties of perjury the following to be true: 1. I am not a party to the action to which the affirmation of service is attached. 2. I am over 18 years of age. 3. On November 18, 2004, I served the attached papers, more particularly described as a Motion Dismiss Simplified Traffic Information by depositing a true copy thereof in a post-paid wrapper, in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the U.S. Postal Service in New York State, addressed to the following person at the last known address set forth after his name: New York Police Officer XXX Dated: Spring Valley, NY November 18, 2004

> Matisyahu Wolfberg Attorney at Law

•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
UT-3,6 SP (8/01) New York State • Department	- 22
10 275177 D TRAF	T # 200
LQ 275177 0 TRAF	250,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EWYORE STATE POLICE
Lest Name (Defendant),	ie. Art. 1917 Articular
	OUTS NATURE
Number and Street	
City The Service Services	State Zip Chidefal In 1947
Collection of the collection o	45 1009 35
3 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	2 7 6 6
File State Eld Class . C. Dale Expres Sex Date of Birt	
SPIJe Sumber # Reg Type	Coline Co. of Wahs Topol Colone
	BA
O Versicle Year Marks The Sollow	in with your alleged springlesion.
Wookday: Gare of Offerise) Time	40 0 / 持属 整體
Strelet Name: A O w 12/5	Hwy Type Proy No.
ON DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	Two top country
CO. OF	Uzst Mass
REIN VIOLATION OF DESIGNATES OF CONTRACT	
Risec Sub Sub &	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Description of Vigilation	MFH FE Zone V
1910 1910	77 653
F 0	Offices Typo
Official signature)	
vi -	TO DEPLOY SERVICE
5	Security Control of the Control of t
NCICIORI DIVITrocp PCT/Zone	
Officer's Lest Name (tinhi)	MI Badde/Shidh I
YOU ARE HEREEY DIRECTED TO APPEAR IN THE	El . or world to the last
City X A TO S	
CHANGE COURT THE OF LOWER S	15-613
Address Address	1 2 3 2 3 3 7 7 7 7
LO State (	Zip in Zim Signatura
Ost Date ' Got , Time , I	
ON 9/75 YEAR BAT SONOM	1. 重点英格式中和3.6
A plea of guilty to this charge	is equivalent to a
- A LA WOOD AND THE CONTROL OF THE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN	SPA CTIMALIEUS HILLS
only will you be hable to addition your beense to drive	a motor vehicle or
I was a second of Greek Story Continues	TO PETERSON DESIGNATION
if any, are subject to suspense	on and revocation
as drescribed by law.	
Upon conviction you may be subject to a manda prescribed by law. Your failure to respond may re-	
or suspension of your driver ligense and/or a defin	alt judgment against van